Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 1 of 16 PageID #:1

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

BILLY GOAT IP LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

No. 17-cv-9154

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY LLC,

Defendant.

### **COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**

Plaintiff Billy Goat IP LLC states as follows for its Complaint against Defendant The Billy Goat Chip Company, LLC:

#### **Introduction**

1. No fries...Chips! Since 1934 customers from around the world have been visiting Plaintiff's famous Billy Goat Tavern in Chicago for its unique hospitality, mouth-watering burgers, thirst-quenching beers, and delicious potato chips. With the help of the 1978 Saturday Night Live sketch starring John Belushi, Bill Murray and Dan Aykroyd, Plaintiff's company (including predecessor and affiliates) has become notorious and world-renowned for three iconic phrases: (i) "Cheezborger, Cheezborger, Cheezborger!; (ii) No Pepsi...Coke!; and, of course, (iii) No fries...CHEEPS! Since then, Plaintiff has promoted its restaurant services, including, but not limited to the sale of potato chips, in connection with its BILLY GOAT trademark (hereinafter "BILLY GOAT Mark").

1

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 2 of 16 PageID #:2

2. Defendant's recent entrance into the Chicago, Illinois and Washington D.C. markets selling potato chips and other related products under the trademark THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY—has resulted in a growing number of instances of consumer confusion as to whether Defendant is affiliated, sponsored, or approved of by Plaintiff, which it is not. For example, numerous customers have come to Plaintiff's locations mistakenly stating that they had purchased Plaintiff's chips at various retail locations, when in reality they had purchased Defendant's chips.

3. Defendant's use of the name "Billy Goat" as a trademark infringes upon Plaintiff's wellestablished BILLY GOAT Mark and presents a significant threat to the public's interest in not being confused when making purchasing decisions. Therefore, in this action, Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief against Defendant's use of the name "Billy Goat" for its chips.

#### **Parties**

4. Plaintiff is Delaware limited liability company with its principle place of business located at 1535 West Madison Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611.


5. Defendant is a Missouri limited liability company with its principle place of business located at 3136 Watson Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63139.

#### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

6. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over Counts I and II of this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338 because the claims arise out of the Lanham Act under 15 U.S.C. §§ 1114 and 1125. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's remaining claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a) because they are so related to the claims asserted in Counts I and II as to form part of the same case or controversy.

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 3 of 16 PageID #:3

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant because it sells its products under the infringing THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark throughout the State of Illinois and in the city of Chicago, as shown on Defendant's own website:



8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this district and a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claims (*i.e.*, Defendant's trademark infringement) occurred in this district.

#### **Factual Background**

### I. <u>History of the Iconic Billy Goat Tavern & Plaintiff's Trademark Rights</u>

9. The original Billy Goat Tavern was established in 1934 when Greek immigrant, William "Billy Goat" Sianis, purchased the Lincoln Tavern for \$205. The tavern was originally located at 1855 W. Madison St., Chicago, IL 60612 across from the Chicago Stadium (now United Center).

10. Sianis became known as "Billy Goat," when a goat fell off a passing truck and wandered inside the tavern. Sianis adopted the goat, grew a goatee, acquired the nickname "Billy Goat," and forever changed the name of the restaurant and bar to Billy Goat Tavern.

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 4 of 16 PageID #:4

11. The Billy Goat Tavern gained greater notoriety in 1945 when Sianis was refused entrance to Wrigley Field for game four of the 1945 World Series against the Detroit Tigers because he insisted that his pet goat, Murphy, attend the game under his second ticket. According to the legend, Sianis was upset when his goat was denied admission to the stadium and exclaimed, "The Cubs ain't gonna win no more. The Cubs will never win a World Series so long as the goat is not allowed in Wrigley Field." The Chicago Cubs ultimately lost to the Detroit Tigers and did not win another World Series until 2016—becoming famously known as the Curse of the Billy Goat.

12. The Billy Goat Tavern was forever immortalized in pop culture by the 1978 Saturday Night Live sketch starring John Belushi, Bill Murray and Dan Aykroyd. Chicago native, Don Novello, wrote the sketch while he was an advertising copyrighter in Chicago—focusing heavily on three phrases commonly shouted at customers at the Billy Goat Tavern: "Cheezborger, Cheezborger, Cheezborger!"; "No Pepsi...Coke!" (reversed for the SNL sketch); and, of course, "No fries...CHEEPS!"

13. Since 1934, Plaintiff has continuously sold three staples in connection with the BILLY GOAT Mark: (i) cheeseburgers; (ii) beer; and (iii) potato chips.

14. In 1964, the Billy Goat Tavern moved to its present and most-famously known subterranean location at 430 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Illinois 60611.

15. Plaintiff has since then expanded its business by opening additional Billy Goat Tavern locations in the Chicago, Illinois area: Washington Street (Loop), Wells Street (South Loop), Madison Avenue (United Center), Navy Pier, and O'Hare International Airport.

16. Plaintiff also opened a Billy Goat Tavern in Washington D.C.—located at 500 New Jersey Ave. NW #1, Washington, DC 20001-2005—in October 2005.

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 5 of 16 PageID #:5

17. In addition to its tavern and restaurant services, Plaintiff offers its frozen beef patties and family-recipe beers at Jewel-Osco stores throughout the state of Illinois, as shown below. Similarly, Plaintiff intends to begin selling its iconic potato chips at retail locations shortly.

# Plaintiff's Frozen BILLY GOAT Burgers

# Plaintiffs BILLY GOAT IPA



18. In 1982, Sam A. Sianis d.b.a. Billy Goat Inn registered the BILLY GOAT Mark with the United States Patent and Trademark Office in connection with Tavern and Restaurant Services— Registration No. 1,197,507—listing a date of first use as early as 1934. *See* Exhibit 1.

19. On October 20, 2015, Sam A. Sianis assigned to Plaintiff all right, title and interest in and to U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,197,507, as well as all common law trademark rights in and to the BILLY GOAT Mark, for use in connection with tavern and restaurant services, together with the goodwill of the business connected with and symbolized by the BILLY GOAT Mark. *See* Exhibit 2.

20. Since 1934, through itself and its predecessors, Plaintiff has spent substantial resources and time marketing its business under the BILLY GOAT Mark, leading non-solicited third-parties to describe the Billy Goat Tavern as "one of Chicago's most famous legends in the restaurant industry" (https://www.thrillist.com/eat/chicago/chicago-history-burger-restauraunts-timeline-drexels-pure-food-white-castle-kumas-corner) and stating there are "Few

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 6 of 16 PageID #:6

restaurants...as famous, or as infamous, as Chicago's Billy Goat Tavern." (https://chicago.thelocaltourist.com/billygoattavern).

21. To this day, Plaintiff's Billy Goat Tavern locations are frequented by celebrities, sports figures, and, of course, Saturday Night Live stars, including, Bill Murray, Andy Samberg, and Will Ferrell.

# II. Defendant's History and its Infringing Conduct

22. In 2009, two St. Louis, Missouri individuals—Rob Lyons and Brian Roth—started selling potato chips under the name THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY, as shown to the right.

23. On March 30, 2010, nearly thirty years after Plaintiff had obtained its trademark registration in the BILLY GOAT Mark, Defendant registered the mark THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY with the United States




Patent and Trademark Office—Registration No. 3,766,997—in connection with potato chips. The registration disclaimed all rights to the phrase "Chip Company."


24. Defendant's initial sales of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY potato chips, on information and belief, were limited to the immediate St. Louis, Missouri area.

25. As shown by Defendant's own website, and as depicted below, Defendant's potato chips are still sold primarily in the St. Louis market—at approximately one-hundred and two (102) locations. However, the company has recently begun to expand beyond the St. Louis area and now offers its THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY potato chips at three (3) different locations in the Chicago, Illinois area.

# Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 7 of 16 PageID #:7




26. Defendant's THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY potato chips are sold at 543 N. Wabash Ave., Chicago, IL 60611—approximately 1/3 of a mile from Plaintiff's most famous location at 430 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Illinois 60611, as shown below:



27. Defendant's THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY potato chips are also sold in Washington D.C. at 475 H St. NW, Washington, DC 20001—approximately half a mile from Plaintiff's Washington D.C. location, as shown below:

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 8 of 16 PageID #:8



28. To this day, Defendant continues to sell THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY potato chips, and other products under THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY trademark, through retail locations across the United States and online at its website <u>https://www.billygoatstl.com/</u>.

29. Defendant did not seek Plaintiff's consent or permission to use the name "Billy Goat" as a trademark, nor has any consent or permission ever been given.

## III. <u>Continued Increase in Actual Confusion and Plaintiff's Unsuccessful Efforts to Stop</u> <u>Defendant's Infringing Conduct</u>

30. After Defendant entered into the Chicago, Illinois and Washington D.C. markets, Plaintiff began experiencing instances of confusion among consumers as to whether Defendant's THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY potato chips were affiliated with or sponsored by Plaintiff, which they are not. For example, numerous customers have come to Plaintiff's locations mistakenly stating that they had purchased Plaintiff's chips at various retail locations, when in reality they had purchased Defendant's chips.

31. Unfortunately, the confusion caused by Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark has not stopped—it has only grown worse. As Defendant's presence and sales in the Chicago, Illinois and Washington D.C. markets have increased, so too have the instances of confusion experienced by Plaintiff.

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 9 of 16 PageID #:9

32. This confusion is understandable. By operating in the heart of downtown since 1934 aided by the Saturday Night Live sketch in 1978 and the Chicago Cubs' Curse of the Billy Goat from 1945 to 2016—the Billy Goat Tavern has become a part of Chicago's history and is immediately recognizable by people throughout the country, similar to other iconic Chicago landmarks such as Wrigley Field, the Old Chicago Water Tower, and Uno Pizzeria.

33. Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark has also impacted Plaintiff's ability to license the BILLY GOAT Mark to third-party chip companies due to their mistaken belief that Plaintiff is affiliated with Defendant, and therefore is already offering chips in connection with the BILLY GOAT Mark, or their unwillingness to sell a product that already has a direct competitor with a substantially similar mark.

34. Plaintiff has reached out to Defendant—objecting to its use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark and requesting that Defendant cease all use of the mark. Plaintiff has repeatedly attempted to resolve Defendant's infringement amicably, but Defendant has refused to acknowledge or agree to Plaintiff's demands.

35. Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark infringes on Plaintiff's BILLY GOAT Mark.

36. Defendant's conduct constitutes intentional and knowing infringement of the BILLY GOAT Mark.

37. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

38. The goodwill of Plaintiff's nearly 85-year-old BILLY GOAT Mark is being harmed by Defendant, and an increasing number of customers are becoming confused as a result of Defendant's infringing use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY trademark.

9

39. Because the instances of consumer confusion continues to increase, and will only continue to increase as Defendant's presence in the Chicago, Illinois and Washington D.C. markets continues to grow, injunctive relief is required to protect the goodwill that Plaintiff has established in its BILLY GOAT Mark since 1934 and to protect consumers from continued confusion.

# COUNT I <u>TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT – 15 U.S.C. § 1114</u>

40. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 39 as though fully stated herein.

41. Section 1114 of the Lanham Act precludes the use in commerce of any reproduction, counterfeit, copy, or colorable imitation of a registered mark in connection with the sale, offering for sale, distribution, or advertising of any good or services on or in connection with which such use is likely to cause confusion, or cause mistake, or to deceive consumers.

42. Plaintiff is the sole and exclusive owner of the BILLY GOAT Mark (Registration No. 1,197,507). The BILLY GOAT Mark is a valid and subsisting trademark which is in full force and effect. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1057(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 1065, the registration serves as prima facie evidence of the mark's validity and Plaintiff's right to use such mark in connection with its goods and/or services. Furthermore, the BILLY GOAT Mark is incontestable pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1065.

43. Defendant's unauthorized use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips, and related goods, is likely to cause, and already has caused, confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers as to the source, quality, affiliation, sponsorship or authenticity of the parties' goods and/or services—depriving Plaintiff of the goodwill established in its BILLY GOAT Mark.

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 11 of 16 PageID #:11

44. On information and belief, Defendant deliberately adopted and/or is using THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark with a bad faith intent to cause consumer confusion and/or trade on the goodwill established by Plaintiff since 1934.

45. Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips, and related goods, has caused and, unless retrained and enjoined, will continue to cause consumer confusion and irreparable harm, damage and injury to Plaintiff for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

46. Defendant's willful and continued use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark after multiple requests by Plaintiff to cease all use of the mark makes this case an exceptional case for which an award of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs are warranted.

#### COUNT II UNFAIR COMPETITION - 15 U.S.C. § 1125

47. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 46 as though fully stated herein.

48. Section 1125(a) of the Lanham Act precludes use in commerce of any word, term, or name likely to cause confusion as to the affiliation, connection or association as to the origin or sponsorship of goods or services by another person.

49. Through extensive and continuous use of its BILLY GOAT Mark since as early as 1934 and Plaintiff's registration of the mark (Registration No. 1,197,507) in 1982, Plaintiff has established trademark rights in its BILLY GOAT Mark.

50. Defendant's unauthorized use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips, and related goods, is likely to cause, and already has caused, confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers as to the source, quality, affiliation,

11

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 12 of 16 PageID #:12

sponsorship or authenticity of the parties' goods and/or services—depriving Plaintiff of the goodwill established in its BILLY GOAT Mark.

51. On information and belief, Defendant deliberately adopted and/or is using THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark with a bad faith intent to cause consumer confusion and/or trade on the goodwill established by Plaintiff since 1934.

52. Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips has caused and, unless retrained and enjoined, will continue to cause consumer confusion and irreparable harm, damage and injury to Plaintiff for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

53. Defendant's willful and continued use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark after multiple requests by Plaintiff to cease all use of the mark makes this case an exceptional case for which an award of Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees and costs are warranted.

# COUNT III ILLINOIS UNIFORM DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICE ACT – 815 ILCS 510

54. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 53 as though fully stated herein.

55. Section 510/2 of the Illinois Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act provides in relevant part:

A person engages in a deceptive trade practice when, in the course of his or her business...the person:

(1) passes off goods or services of those of another;

(2) causes likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to the source, sponsorship, approval or certification of goods or services;

(3) causes likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding as to the affiliation, connection or association with or certification by another,...or

(12) engages in any other conduct which similarly creates a likelihood of confusion or of misunderstanding.

815 ILCS 510/2.

56. Defendant's unauthorized use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips, and related goods, is likely to cause, and already has caused, confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers as to the source, quality, affiliation, sponsorship or authenticity of the parties' goods and/or services—depriving Plaintiff of the goodwill established in its BILLY GOAT Mark. Therefore, Defendant has used deceptive acts or practices as described in Section 2 of the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act.

57. On information and belief, Defendant deliberately adopted and/or is using THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark with a bad faith intent to cause consumer confusion and/or trade on the goodwill established by Plaintiff since 1934.

58. Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips has caused and, unless retrained and enjoined, will continue to cause consumer confusion and irreparable harm, damage and injury to Plaintiff for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

# COUNT IV ILLINOIS CONSUMER FRAUD AND DECEPTIVE BUSINESS PRACTICES ACT

59. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 58 as though fully stated herein.

60. Section 505/2 of the Illinois Consumer Fraud and Deception Business Practices Act provides:

Unfair methods of competition and unfair or deceptive acts or practices, including but not limited to...the use or employment of any practice described in Section 2 of the "Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act", approved August 5, 1965, in the conduct of any trade or commerce are hereby declared unlawful whether any person in fact has been misled, deceived or damaged thereby.

815 ILCS 505/2.

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 14 of 16 PageID #:14

61. Defendant's unauthorized use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips, and related goods, is likely to cause, and already has caused, confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers as to the source, quality, affiliation, sponsorship or authenticity of the parties' goods and/or services—depriving Plaintiff of the goodwill established in its BILLY GOAT Mark. Therefore, Defendant has used deceptive acts or practices as described in Section 2 of the Uniform Deceptive Trade Practices Act.

62. On information and belief, Defendant deliberately adopted and/or is using THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark with a bad faith intent to cause consumer confusion and/or trade on the goodwill established by Plaintiff since 1934.

63. Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips has caused and, unless retrained and enjoined, will continue to cause consumer confusion and irreparable harm, damage and injury to Plaintiff for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

## COUNT V COMMON LAW TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT

64. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 63 as though fully stated herein.

65. Through extensive and continuous use of its BILLY GOAT Mark since as early as 1934, Plaintiff has established trademark rights in its BILLY GOAT Mark.

66. Defendant's unauthorized use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips, and related goods, is likely to cause, and already has caused, confusion, mistake, or deception among consumers as to the source, quality, affiliation,

#### Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 15 of 16 PageID #:15

sponsorship or authenticity of the parties' goods and/or services—depriving Plaintiff of the goodwill established in its BILLY GOAT Mark.

67. On information and belief, Defendant deliberately adopted and/or is using THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark with a bad faith intent to cause consumer confusion and/or trade on the goodwill established by Plaintiff since 1934.

68. Defendant's use of THE BILLY GOAT CHIP COMPANY mark in connection with its sale of potato chips has caused and, unless retrained and enjoined, will continue to cause consumer confusion and irreparable harm, damage and injury to Plaintiff for which Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.

#### **Prayer for Relief**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter judgment against Defendant as follows:

a) Preliminary and permanently enjoining Defendant's use of the phrase "Billy Goat" as a name, trade mark, or service mark in connection with food and/or beverage sales;

b) Awarding Plaintiff its attorneys' fees and costs; and

c) Ordering or awarding Plaintiff any such other relief that the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 16 of 16 PageID #:16

# **Demand For Jury Trial**

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: December 20, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Michael A. Parks</u>

Michael A. Parks. IL 6217230 Justin Powers Mulligan, IL 6319388 THOMPSON COBURN LLP 55 E. Monroe Street, 37<sup>th</sup> Floor Chicago, IL 60603 P: (312) 346-7500 F: (312) 580-2201 mparks@thompsoncoburn.com jmulligan@thompsoncoburn.com Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1-1 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 1 of 2 PageID #:17

# **EXHIBIT 1**

Int. Cl.: 42

**Prior U.S. Cl.: 100** 

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Reg. No. 1,197,507 Registered Jun. 8, 1982

## SERVICE MARK Principal Register

# **BILLY GOAT**

Sam A. Sianis (United States citizen), d.b.a. Billy Goat Inn
430 N. Michigan Ave. Chicago, Ill. 60611

For: TAVERN AND RESTAURANT SER-VICES, in CLASS 42 (U.S. Cl. 100). First use 1934; in commerce 1934.

Ser. No. 312,921, filed Jun. 2, 1981.

C. A. SIDOTI, Primary Examiner

Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document #: 1-2 Filed: 12/20/17 Page 1 of 4 PageID #:19

# **EXHIBIT 2**

#### 900346476 12/07/2015 Case: 1:17-cv-09154 Document # 1-2 Filed 12/2017 Page 2 of 2 PageID #:20 TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT COVER SHEET

Electronic Version v1.1 Stylesheet Version v1.2 ETAS ID: TM364806

SUBMISSION TYPE:		RESU	RESUBMISSION				
NATURE OF CONVEYANCE: RESUBMIT DOCUMENT ID:		ASSI	ASSIGNMENT OF THE ENTIRE INTEREST AND THE GOODWILL				
		90034	900343830				
CONVEYING PARTY DA	ATA						
Name		Foi	rmerly	Execution Date	Entity Type		
Sam A. Sianis D.B.A. Billy Goat Inn				10/20/2015	INDIVIDUAL: UNITED STATE		
RECEIVING PARTY DA	ТА						
Name:	Billy Goat IP LLC						
Street Address:	615 S. DuPont Highway						
City:	Dover						
State/Country:	DELAWARE						
Postal Code:	19901						
Entity Type:	LIMITED I		COMPAN	Y: DELAWARE			
		hau		10/o ud	Maula		
PROPERTY NUMBERS Property Type	Num	ber		Word	Mark		
		ber	BILLY GOA		Mark		
Property Type Registration Number:	Num 1197507	ber	BILLY GOA		Mark		
Property Type	Num 1197507				Mark		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be	Num 1197507 ATA 31 e sent to th	46673633 9 <b>e e-mail</b>	3 address fir	AT rst; if that is unsucces	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p	Num 1197507 ATA 31 e sent to th provided; in	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f that is u	address fir	AT rst; if that is unsucces	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: <i>Correspondence will be</i> <i>using a fax number, if p</i> Phone:	Num           1197507           ATA           31           e sent to the provided; in 31	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600	3 <b>address fir</b> Insuccessf	AT rst; if that is unsucces ful, it will be sent via U	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email:	Num           1197507           ATA           31           sent to the	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600	3 <i>address fir</i> <i>Insuccessf</i> 00 nompsoncob	AT rst; if that is unsucces ful, it will be sent via U	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE Da Fax Number: <i>Correspondence will be</i> <i>using a fax number, if p</i> Phone:	Num           1197507           ATA           31           e sent to the provided; in           31           ipc           Sh	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@tl	3 <i>address fir</i> <i>Insuccessf</i> 20 nompsoncok	AT rst; if that is unsucces ful, it will be sent via U	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email: Correspondent Name:	Num 1197507 ATA 31 sent to th provided; in 31 ipc Sh Or	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@th oko Naru e US Bai	3 <i>address fir</i> <i>Insuccessf</i> 20 nompsoncok	AT <i>rst; if that is unsucces</i> <i>ful, it will be sent via U</i> purn.com	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email: Correspondent Name: Address Line 1:	Num 1197507 ATA 31 <i>a sent to th</i> <i>brovided; in</i> 31 ipc Sh Or St.	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@tl oko Naru e US Bai Louis, M	3 <i>address fir</i> <i>Insuccessf</i> D0 nompsoncok I0 nk Plaza	AT <i>rst; if that is unsucces</i> <i>ful, it will be sent via U</i> purn.com	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email: Correspondent Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 4:	Num 1197507 ATA 31 <i>a sent to th</i> <i>brovided; it</i> 31 ipc Sh Or St.	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@th ocket@th oko Naru e US Bai Louis, M	3 address fir insuccessf 100 nompsoncok 100 nk Plaza IISSOURI 63	AT <i>rst; if that is unsucces</i> <i>ful, it will be sent via U</i> purn.com	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email: Correspondent Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 4:	Num 1197507 ATA 31 <i>a sent to th</i> <i>brovided; it</i> 31 ipc Sh Or St.	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@th ocket@th oko Naru e US Bai Louis, M	3 address fir unsuccessf D0 nompsoncok I0 nk Plaza IISSOURI 63 2-150623	AT <i>rst; if that is unsucces</i> <i>ful, it will be sent via U</i> purn.com	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email: Correspondent Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 4: ATTORNEY DOCKET NUNAME OF SUBMITTER:	Num 1197507 ATA 31 <i>a sent to th</i> <i>brovided; it</i> 31 ipc Sh Or St.	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@tl oko Naru e US Bai Louis, M 59542 Shoko /sn/	3 address fir unsuccessf D0 nompsoncok I0 nk Plaza IISSOURI 63 2-150623	AT <i>rst; if that is unsucces</i> <i>ful, it will be sent via U</i> purn.com	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email: Correspondent Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 4: ATTORNEY DOCKET NUNAME OF SUBMITTER: SIGNATURE:	Num 1197507 ATA 31 <i>a sent to th</i> <i>brovided; it</i> 31 ipc Sh Or St.	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> f <b>that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@tl oko Naru e US Bai Louis, M 59542 Shoko /sn/	3 address fir insuccess D0 nompsoncok I0 nk Plaza IISSOURI 63 2-150623 D Naruo	AT <i>rst; if that is unsucces</i> <i>ful, it will be sent via U</i> purn.com	sful, it will be sent		
Property Type Registration Number: CORRESPONDENCE DA Fax Number: Correspondence will be using a fax number, if p Phone: Email: Correspondent Name: Address Line 1: Address Line 1: Address Line 4: ATTORNEY DOCKET NUNAME OF SUBMITTER: SIGNATURE: DATE SIGNED:	Num 1197507 ATA 31 sent to th provided; in 31 ipc Sh Or St. MBER:	46673633 <b>e e-mail</b> <b>f that is u</b> 4.552.600 ocket@tl oko Naru e US Bar Louis, M 59542 Shoko /sn/ 12/07 cuted#pa	address fir insuccess insuccess on ompsoncot onk Plaza IISSOURI 63 2-150623 o Naruo	AT <i>rst; if that is unsucces</i> <i>ful, it will be sent via U</i> purn.com	sful, it will be sent		

### TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT

This Trademark Assignment (the "Assignment") is made and entered into on October 20, 2015 by and between Sam A. Sianis (D.B.A. Billy Goat Inn and Billy Goat Tavern), an individual with an address of 430 N. Michigan Ave., Chicago, Illinois 60611 ("Assignor"), and Billy Goat IP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company having offices at 615 S. DuPont Highway, Dover, Delaware 19901 ("Assignee").

WHEREAS, Assignor owns all right, title and interest in and to U.S. Trademark Registration No. 1,197,507 for the trademark BILLY GOAT as identified in Appendix A, and common law trademark rights in and to said trademark, for use in connection with tavern and restaurant services (the "Trademark"), together with the goodwill of the business connected with and symbolized by the Trademark; and

WHEREAS, Assignor desires to assign, and Assignee desires to accept, all of Assignor's right, title and interest in and to the Trademark.

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and adequacy of which are acknowledged, the parties hereby agree as set forth below.

Assignor hereby assigns, transfers and conveys to Assignee its entire right, title, interest in and to the Trademark together with the accompanying goodwill and that portion of the business represented and symbolized by the Trademark (including, without limitation, the right to apply to renew the associated registration, the right to apply for trademark registrations based in whole or in part upon the Trademark, and any priority right that may arise from the Trademark), the same to be held and enjoyed by Assignee as fully and entirely as said interest could have been held and enjoyed by Assignor had this sale, assignment, transfer and conveyance not been made.

Assignor authorizes the Commissioner of Trademarks of the United States and other empowered officials of the United States Patent and Trademark Office to record the transfer of the registration for the Trademark to Assignee as assignee of Assignor's entire right, title and interest therein. Assignor agrees to further execute any documents reasonably necessary to effect this assignment or to confirm Assignee's ownership of the Trademark.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Assignment as of the date first above written.

ASSIGNOR:

Sam A. Sianis Signature: Printed Name:

ASSIGNEE:

**Billy Goat IP LLC** Signature: Printed Name:

# Appendix A

Trademark	Reg. No.	Goods/Services	Registrant
BILLY GOAT	1,197,507	<i>Tavern and restaurant services</i> (in International Class 42)	Sianis; Sam A.,
			D.B.A. Billy Goat Inn and Billy Goat Tavern

6243283

# TRADEMARK REEL: 005681 FRAME: 0510

.

# RECORDED: 11/10/2015